

**Making Goal Pursuit Effective:
Expectancy-Dependent Goal Setting and Planned Goal Striving**

Gabriele Oettingen^{1,2}

Peter M. Gollwitzer^{1,3}

New York University¹

University of Hamburg, Germany²

University of Konstanz, Germany³

To appear in: J. Forgas, R. F. Baumeister, & D. M. Tice (Eds.), *The psychology of self-regulation*. New York: Psychology Press.

Making Goal Pursuit Effective:

Expectancy-Dependent Goal Setting and Planned Goal Striving

The present chapter discusses the self-regulation of goal pursuit. Research on goal pursuit has commonly focused on two separate issues: the setting of appropriate goals and the effective striving for goal attainment (Gollwitzer & Moskowitz, 1996; Oettingen & Gollwitzer, 2001). Research on goal setting observed that mentally contrasting a desired future outcome with obstacles of present reality leads to goal commitments to reach this outcome in line with one's expectations of success (Oettingen, 2000). Given that expectancies of success are high, strong goal commitments emerge as reflected in cognitive, affective, and behavioral indicators. Research on goal striving observed that spelling out goal implementation in advance by simple if-then plans linking an instrumental goal-directed behavior (then-component) to anticipated situational cues (if-component) manages to automate goal striving, thus facilitating getting started on one's goals and shielding them from disruptions (Gollwitzer, 1993, 1999). The goal setting strategy of mental contrasting (MC) has recently be combined with the goal striving strategy of making if-then plans (i.e., implementation intentions; II) into a joint strategy (MCII) to be taught in interventions geared at enhancing the self-regulation of goal pursuit. Various intervention studies entailing different samples (e.g., high school students, college students, female professionals) and various types of goals (e.g., academic, life-style, health) attest to the effectiveness of the MCII strategy. It can be taught as a meta-cognitive strategy that is then applied by the trainees to their own individual concerns; effects on goal attainment are both immediate and long-lasting, and broader outcome variables such as self-discipline and self-esteem are also positively

affected. In the present chapter we will present the development of research on mental contrasting and forming implementation intentions, and how they were combined into the creation of an intervention strategy to promote goal attainment.

Setting Goals

If people want to meet their goals, they need to set goals framed in a way that maximizes goal attainment. Framing one's goals in terms of promoting positive outcomes versus preventing negative outcomes (promotion versus prevention goals, Higgins, 1997) helps goal attainment, as does acquiring competence versus demonstrating the possession of competence (learning versus performance goals, Dweck, 1999), and anticipating internal versus external rewards (intrinsic versus extrinsic goals, Ryan & Deci, 2000). That is, promotion, learning and intrinsic goals are commonly attained more successfully than prevention, performance, and extrinsic goals. The precision with which the desired future outcome is spelled out also influences success in goal attainment. For example, goals with a proximal versus a distal time frame (Bandura & Schunk, 1981) are more likely to be achieved, and goals with specific rather than I-will-do-my-best standards lead to better performances (Locke & Latham, 1990).

It is also useful to set goals that one can strongly commit to, as such goals (intentions) have a better chance of being attained (Ajzen, 1991; meta-analysis by Webb & Sheeran, 2006). Strong goal commitments are based on the belief that a given goal is both highly desirable and feasible (e.g., Atkinson, 1957; Bandura, 1997; Gollwitzer, 1990; Klinger, 1975). Desirability comprises the summarized beliefs about the pleasantness of expected short-term and long-term consequences of goal attainment (Heckhausen, 1977). Feasibility is defined as expectations that future events and actions

will occur (Gollwitzer, 1990). Prominent examples include expectations of whether one can execute a behavior necessary for realizing a specific outcome (i.e., self-efficacy expectations; Bandura, 1977; Maddux, 1999), expectations that a behavior will lead to a specified outcome (i.e., outcome expectations; Bandura, 1977; instrumentality beliefs; Vroom, 1964), and judgments about the general likelihood of a certain outcome (i.e., general expectations; Oettingen & Mayer, 2002). It is important to recognize, however, that perceiving a desirable goal as feasible does not necessarily make for strong goal commitments. Recent research suggests that the way people think about a desired future outcome affects whether feasibility is indeed translated into strong goal commitments facilitating subsequent goal striving and goal attainment.

Effective Goal Setting: The Self-Regulation Strategy of Mental Contrasting

The model of fantasy realization (Oettingen, 2000; Oettingen, Pak, & Schnetter, 2001) proposes that mentally contrasting a desired future with the reality that impedes its realization will create expectancy-dependent goal commitments. Specifically, in *mental contrasting*, people imagine the attainment of a desired future (e.g., becoming a clinical psychologist; giving a good talk) and then reflect on the present reality that stands in the way of attaining the desired future (e.g., the GRE exam yet to be taken; evaluation anxiety). Thus, contrasting fantasies about the future with reflections on reality is a problem solving strategy: the person wants to achieve a desired future and needs to engage in actions to realize it.

In their theory of problem solving, Newell and Simon (1972) distinguish between an objective and a subjective problem space. The objective problem space is defined by the demands of the task. In the case of realizing a desired future, the objective problem

space is composed of the desired future and the impediments of getting there. The subjective problem space is defined by the internal representations of the problem. Mental contrasting matches the subjective problem space with the objective problem space by linking future and reality, and thereby people recognize that they need to take actions in order to achieve the desired future. As a consequence, expectations of attaining the desired future become activated and determine the person's commitment and subsequent striving to attain the wanted future. When perceived chances of success (expectations of success) are high, people will actively commit to and strive towards reaching the desired future; when expectations of success are low, people will refrain from doing so. In other words, mental contrasting makes a person sensitive to the question of which goals are reachable, and it gets people to go for reachable goals and keep clear of unreachable ones. This ultimately should protect a person's resources (time, energy, and money) as people will not show any engagement in the face of unreachable goals, but engage without restraint in the face of reachable goals.

The model of fantasy realization specifies two other ways of thinking about the future; however, both fail to lead to goal commitment and goal striving guided by the perceived likelihood of attaining the desired future. People may either solely envision the attainment of the wished-for future (i.e., *indulging*) or solely reflect on the negative reality (i.e., *dwelling*). Considered again from a problem solving perspective (Newell & Simon, 1972), both modes of thinking create a subjective problem space that does not correspond to the objective problem space. As the objective problem space is not subjectively accessible, a discrepancy or tension between future and reality is not perceived and thus it is not signaled that actions would be necessary or instrumental to

achieve the desired future. Therefore, expectations of success do not become activated and goal commitment and goal striving do not reflect the perceived likelihood of reaching the desired future. The level of goal striving is determined by the a priori commitment that the person holds with respect to attaining the desired future. In other words, it is only mental contrasting, but not indulging and dwelling, that succeeds in strengthening goal commitment with subsequent goal striving when expectations of success are high, and in weakening it when expectations of success are low. Indulging and dwelling are thus less effective in protecting a person's resources than mental contrasting; individuals who indulge and dwell show a medium level of engagement even when no engagement (in the case of low expectations of success) or full engagement (in the case of high expectations of success) would be the resource-efficient way to go.

Empirical Evidence

A multitude of studies have tested the effects of mental contrasting, indulging, and dwelling on goal commitment and goal striving (Oettingen, 2000; Oettingen, Hönig, & Gollwitzer, 2000; Oettingen, Mayer, Thorpe, Janetzke, & Lorenz, 2005; Oettingen et al., 2001). For example, in one study, freshmen enrolled in a vocational school for computer programming (Oettingen et al., 2001, Study 4), first indicated their expectations of excelling in mathematics. Then they named aspects that they associated with excelling in mathematics (participants named e.g., feelings of pride, increasing job prospects) and aspects of reality that may impede such excelling (participants named e.g., being distracted by peers, feeling lazy). Subsequently, three experimental conditions were established to correspond with the three ways of thinking about the future. In the mental contrasting condition, participants had to elaborate in writing two positive aspects of the

future and two aspects of reality, in alternating order, beginning with a positive aspect of the future. Participants in the indulging condition were asked to elaborate four positive aspects of the future; in the dwelling condition, they instead elaborated four negative aspects of reality. As a dependent variable, participants indicated how energized they felt with respect to excelling in mathematics (e.g., how active, eventful, energetic). Further, two weeks after the experiment, participants' teachers reported how much effort each student had invested for the last two weeks and provided each student with a grade for that time period.

As predicted, only in the mental contrasting group the students felt energized, exerted effort, and earned grades based upon their expectations: Those with high expectations of success felt the most energized, invested the most effort, and received the highest course grades, while those with low expectations of success felt the least energized, invested the least effort, and received the lowest course grades. To the contrary, participants in the indulging and dwelling conditions felt moderately energized, exerted moderate effort, and received moderate grades independent of their expectations of success.

A variety of studies pertaining to different life domains replicated this pattern of results. For example, experiments pertained to studying abroad (Oettingen et al., 2001, Study 2), acquiring a second language (Oettingen et al., 2000, Study 1), getting to know an attractive stranger (Oettingen, 2000, Study 1), finding a balance between work and family life (Oettingen, 2000, Study 2), improving one's self (Oettingen et al., 2005, Study 1), and idiosyncratic interpersonal wishes of great importance (Oettingen et al., 2001, Study 1 and 3). Further, goal commitment and goal striving were assessed by cognitive

(e.g., making plans), affective (e.g., feeling responsible for the wished-for ending), motivational (e.g., feelings of energization), and behavioral indicators (e.g., invested effort and achievements). Indicators were measured via self-report or observations and either directly after the experiment or weeks later. In all of these studies the same pattern of results appeared: Given high expectations of success, participants in the mental contrasting group showed the strongest goal commitment and goal striving; given low expectations, people showed least goal commitment and goal striving. Participants who indulged in positive images about the future or dwelled on negative images of reality showed moderate commitment without considering their expectations of success.

It is important to note that the outcomes of mental contrasting do not occur as a result of changes in expectations (feasibility) or incentive value (desirability), but rather as a result of the mode of self-regulatory thought, aligning commitment with expectations (Oettingen et al., 2001; Oettingen, Mayer, Sevincer, Stephens, Pak, & Hagenah, 2007, Study 1). Furthermore, it is important to mention that the effects of mental contrasting depend on the person perceiving the present reality as standing in the way of realizing the future. When engaging in mental contrasting, individuals first elaborate a desired future, establishing the positive future as their reference point, and only thereafter elaborate aspects of the present reality, thereby perceiving the negative aspects as obstacles standing in the way of attaining the future. Reversing this order (i.e., reverse mental contrasting), by first elaborating the negative reality followed by elaboration of the desired future, thwarts construal of the present standing in the way of the future and thus fails to elicit goal commitment congruent with expectations of success (Oettingen et al., 2001, Study 3). The studies presented next explored the underlying motivational and

cognitive processes responsible for these effects and provide neurological data substantiating and extending the theoretical principles.

Mechanisms of Mental Contrasting

Energization. Locke and Latham (2002) identify feelings of energization as critical to promoting goal-directed behavior. They contend that commitment to realizing a desired future is linked to an “energizing function” (i.e., activity incitement; Brunstein & Gollwitzer, 1996; subjective vitality; Ryan & Frederick, 1997). For example, desired futures that prove more challenging to achieve (e.g., a high school student practicing SAT, setting her sights on beating her personal score) give rise to greater effort than less challenging desired futures (e.g., a high school student practicing SAT, setting her sights on achieving her usual score; Locke & Latham, 2002). Thus, energization was hypothesized and found to be a mediator responsible for the effects of mental contrasting on fostering discriminative goal pursuit (Oettingen et al., 2007, Studies 1 and 2). Specifically, using an acute stress paradigm (i.e., videotaped public speaking; al’Absi, Bongard, Buchanan, et al., 1997), goal commitment as evinced by the quantity and quality of goal striving were observed in the laboratory. Economics students participating in this study were informed that they were to deliver a speech in front of a video camera to help with the development of a measure of professional skills for a human resource department. Participants were randomly assigned to either a mental contrasting or an indulging condition. As dependent variables, participants indicated their initial feelings of energization with a self-report measure (e.g., how energized do you feel when you think about giving your talk), and to gauge participants’ subjective performance they were asked to rate their actual performance. Persistence of goal striving was indicated by the

length of each participant's presentation and quality of goal striving was assessed via independent raters' evaluations of the quality of the videotape content (Oettingen et al., 2007, Study 2).

Consistent with previous mental contrasting studies, individuals in the mental contrasting group, but not those in the indulging condition, evidenced a strong link between perceived expectations of success and goal striving as measured by subjective self-evaluations of performance and objective ratings of the videotaped presentations. Moreover, feelings of energization not only showed the same pattern of results as the goal striving variables (i.e., congruous with goal commitment and striving), but they also predicted objective and subjective presentation quality over and above the interaction effect of experimental condition (i.e., mental contrasting or indulging) and expectations. Additionally, in the mental contrasting condition, feelings of energization fully and significantly explained the relationship between expectations of success and both subjective and objective performance quality. Physiological data as measured by systolic blood pressure showed the same pattern of results (Oettingen et al., 2007, Study 1). Cardiovascular responses, such as systolic blood pressure, are considered reliable indicators of physiological arousal states and effort mobilization (Gendolla & Wright, 2005; Wright & Kirby, 2001).

Planning for upcoming hindrances. Failing to prepare and plan for hindrances one could encounter on the way towards achieving a desired future compromises one's chances of success (Gollwitzer, 1990). Since mental contrasting leads individuals to view the negative aspects of the present reality as obstacles hindering the attainment of a desired future, high expectancy mental contrasting individuals should

prepare for potential impediments by planning out in advance how to tackle any future obstacles. Specifically, high expectancy mental contrasting individuals should spontaneously form if-then plans shown to be highly effective facilitators of goal striving in a host of domains (meta-analysis by Gollwitzer & Sheeran, 2006). Moreover, because these plans have been observed to emerge during the mental contrasting procedure (Oettingen et al., 2001, Study 1; Oettingen et al., 2005, Study 2), they qualify as a cognitive mechanism responsible for the effects of mental contrasting on goal attainment. To test this assumption, Oettingen, Mayer, & Brinkmann (2007) had students engage in mental contrasting, indulging, dwelling, or reverse mental contrasting regarding an interpersonal concern. Thereafter, participants answered questions assessing their commitment to resolving their goals (e.g., putting effort into achieving their goals).

To assess the mediating variable for this study, two independent raters content-analyzed participants' elaborations of the negative aspects of the reality in either the mental contrasting, dwelling, or reverse contrasting conditions to assess the number of if-then plans (e.g., "If I come home feeling overworked, then I will still spend at least half an hour with [my partner]") formed as a result of experimental condition. A significant benefit of this content-analysis method is its ability to capture participants' plan-formation during the process of mental contrasting versus non-contrasting thought (i.e., dwelling and reverse contrasting). Like in the previously described studies on energization, if-then plans mediated the interaction between expectation and self-regulatory thought, and in the mental contrast condition, forming if-then plans fully explained the relation between expectations and subjective success in goal achievement. Thus, when people are in the mental contrasting condition and have high expectations of

success, they consider a course of action towards goal attainment and make plans to overcome anticipated obstacles. Such planning in turn helps to form strong goal commitments with respective intensive goal striving.

Neural correlates. Mental contrasting, as opposed to indulging, presents itself as a cognitively demanding task, one requiring individuals to look into the future, past and present, helping them to form goal commitment (i.e., intentions) in line with their expectations. As such, mental contrasting should be associated with greater activity in brain regions linked to working memory processes as mental contrasting effects are based on mentally placing the present negative reality in the way of the desired future. However, mental contrasting should also lead to greater activity in brain areas associated with episodic memory because it demands the elaboration of obstacles. Such elaborations should recruit memories of relevant obstacles that were experienced in the past as well as relevant memories about past successes and failures in trying to overcome them. Mental contrasting should also be linked to heightened activity in brain regions that are related to vividly imagining events. As the mental contrasting procedure demands switching back and forth from positive images about a desired future to images of impeding obstacles, images of both the desired future and obstacles should become particularly vivid and crystallized. Finally, mental contrasting should lead to greater activity in brain regions that are related to holding intentions and action preparation because mental contrasting leads to the formation of strong goal commitment, given that relevant expectations of success are high.

Indeed, a study using continuous magnetoencephalography (MEG), a brain imaging technique measuring magnetic fields produced by electrical activity in the brain

(Achtziger, Fehr, Oettingen, Gollwitzer, & Rockstroh, in press), showed that mental contrasting and indulging are two distinct mental activities. Specifically, mental contrasting heightened activity in brain regions responsible for working memory and intention formation, suggesting that mental contrasting directs attention towards critical information, such as positioning the present, negative reality in the way of the desired future. Moreover, mental contrasting heightened activity in regions responsible for episodic memory and vivid mental imagery suggesting that mental contrasting is rooted in the retrieval of past personal events, as well as the processing of complex stimuli, such as re-experiencing past incidents. In contrast, indulging relies less on episodic memory processes. Indulging in a positive future primarily entails loose associations between aspects of the not-yet-experienced desired positive future rather than the mental exploration of past experiences (Oettingen, 2000; Oettingen et al., 2001). Furthermore, mental contrasting requires a critical look at both the desired future and negative reality, and thus evokes more vivid images than indulging.

Going beyond prior research, the present findings suggest that certain preliminaries have to be fulfilled so that mental contrasting can evidence its beneficial effects. For example, as mental contrasting taxes working memory, people should not be able to effectively perform mental contrasting whenever cognitive resources are blocked by dual task activities (e.g., being occupied by demanding cognitive tasks, coping with interpersonal stressors, extreme tiredness, or physical frailty and pain). Moreover, as mental contrasting is based on the effective retrieval of relevant obstacles experienced in the past, mental contrasting should be particularly effective for people who have carefully encoded past experiences with obstacles and thus can easily and accurately be retrieved

from memory. Vividly depicted in the present MEG study is the cognitive complexity of mental contrasting.

Summary

Findings supporting the model of fantasy realization show that perceiving the envisioned future as desirable (positive attitude or high incentive value) and feasible (e.g., high efficacy expectations) are just prerequisites for the emergence of strong goal commitments. To create strong goal commitments, people need to translate these positive attitudes and high expectations into binding goals, a process which is facilitated by mentally contrasting the positive future with negative reality. Such mental contrasting has been found to produce expectancy-dependent goal commitments in widely different life domains (e.g., interpersonal, achievement, and health). It is based on the motivational process of energization and the cognitive process of if-then planning when translating expectations into goal commitment and subsequent striving, and it has been linked to brain activity typical of purposeful problem solving based on one's past experiences and performance history.

Implementing Set Goals

Goal attainment is not secured yet solely by forming strong goal commitments and framing the goals at hand in an appropriate manner. There is the second issue of implementing a chosen goal (i.e., goal striving), and one wonders what people can do to enhance their chances of being successful at this phase of goal pursuit. The answer seems to be the following: People need to prepare themselves so that their chances of overcoming the major difficulties of goal implementation are kept high. The type of

preparation that has found much theoretical and empirical attention in recent years is the making of if-then plans (i.e., the forming of implementation intentions).

The Distinction between Goal Intentions and Implementation Intentions

To form an implementation intention (Gollwitzer, 1993, 1999), one needs to identify a future goal-relevant situational cue (i.e., the *if-component*) and a related planned response to that cue (i.e., the *then-component*). Whereas a goal intention specifies the desired event in the form of “I intend to perform Behavior X or to reach Outcome X” (e.g., to exercise regularly or to get an A in Introductory Psychology), an implementation intention specifies both an anticipated goal-relevant situation and a proper goal-directed response. Thus, an implementation intention that serves the goal intention to “get an A in Introductory Psychology” would follow the form “**if** Situation Y arises (e.g., if my roommates will be asking me to go out tonight), **then** I will perform Behavior Z (e.g., then I will say that I will be joining them next week when my exam is over).”

Implementation intentions provide benefits over and above goal intentions: a meta-analysis by Gollwitzer and Sheeran (2006) involving over 8,000 participants in 94 independent studies reported an effect size of $d = .65$. This medium-to-large effect size (Cohen, 1992) represents the additional facilitation of goal achievement by implementation intentions compared to goal intentions alone. As goal intentions by themselves already have a facilitating effect on behavior enactment (Webb & Sheeran, 2006), the size of this effect is remarkable.

How do implementation intention effects come about? The mental links created by implementation intentions facilitate goal attainment on the basis of psychological

processes that relate to both the anticipated situation (the if-part of the plan) and the intended behavior (the then-part of the plan). Because forming an implementation intention implies the selection of a critical future situation, the mental representation of this situation becomes highly activated, and hence more accessible (Gollwitzer, 1999). This heightened accessibility of the if-part of the plan was observed in several studies (e.g., Aarts, Dijksterhuis, & Midden, 1999; Parks-Stamm, Gollwitzer, & Oettingen, 2007; Webb & Sheeran, in press, 2007) and means that people are in a good position to identify and take notice of the critical situation when they subsequently encounter it (e.g., Webb & Sheeran, 2004). For instance, participants who formed implementation intentions to collect a coupon were faster to recognize words related to the location of the coupon (e.g., corridor, red door) compared to participants who only formed the goal intention to collect the coupon; and implementation intention participants also were more likely to collect the coupon subsequently.

Studies also indicate that implementation intentions forge a strong association between the specified opportunity and the specified response (Webb & Sheeran, in press, 2007). The upshot of these strong links is that the initiation of the goal-directed response specified in the if-then plan becomes automated, that is, exhibits features of automaticity including immediacy, efficiency, and redundancy of conscious intent. The idea is that people do not have to deliberate anymore about when and how they should act when they have formed an implementation intention—unlike people who have formed mere goal intentions. Evidence that if-then planners act quickly (Gollwitzer & Brandstätter, 1997, Experiment 3), deal effectively with cognitive demands (Brandstätter, Lengfelder, &

Gollwitzer, 2001), and do not need to consciously intend to act at the critical moment (Sheeran, Webb, & Gollwitzer, 2005, Study 2) is consistent with this idea.

These component processes of implementation intentions (enhanced cue accessibility, automatization of responding) mean that if-then planning enables people to see and seize good opportunities to move towards their goals. *Strategically* forming if-then plans *automates* goal striving (Gollwitzer & Schaal, 1998) because people delegate control of goal-directed behaviors to pre-selected situational cues with the explicit purpose of reaching their goals, that is, automatic action initiation originates in a conscious act of will (if-then planning).

Implementation Intentions and Solving Problems of Goal Striving

Given these special features of action control by implementation intentions, one wonders whether people benefit from forming implementation intentions when they are confronted with the most challenging problems of goal implementation: getting started, staying on track, calling a halt, and not overextending oneself.

Getting Started. Numerous studies suggest that problems of *getting started* on one's goals can be solved effectively by forming implementation intentions. For instance, Gollwitzer and Brandstätter (1997, Study 2) analyzed a goal intention (i.e., writing a report about how the participants spent Christmas Eve) that had to be performed at a time (i.e., during the subsequent two Christmas holidays) where people are commonly busy with other things. Still, research participants who had furnished their goal intention with an implementation intention that specified when, where, and how one wanted to get started on this project were about three times as likely to actually write the report than mere goal intention participants. Similarly, Oettingen, Hönig, and Gollwitzer (2000,

Study 3) observed that implementation intentions helped people to act on their task goals (i.e., taking a concentration test) on time (e.g., at 10 a.m. in the morning of every Wednesday over the next four weeks).

Other studies have examined the ability of implementation intentions to foster goal striving that is unpleasant to perform. For instance, the goal to perform regular breast examinations (Orbell, Hodgkins, & Sheeran, 1997) or cervical cancer screenings (Sheeran & Orbell, 2000), resume functional activity after joint replacement surgery (Orbell & Sheeran, 2000), eat a low-fat diet (Armitage, 2004), recycle (Holland, Aarts, & Langendam, 2006), and engage in physical exercise (Milne, Orbell, & Sheeran, 2002), were all more readily acted upon when people had furnished these goals with implementation intentions. Moreover, implementation intentions were found to help attainment of goal intentions where it is easy to forget to act (e.g., regular intake of vitamin pills, Sheeran & Orbell, 1999; the signing of work sheets with the elderly; Chasteen, Park, & Schwarz, 2001).

Staying on track. Many goals cannot be accomplished by simple discrete one-shot actions but require that people keep striving for the goal over an extended period of time. Such staying on track may get very difficult when certain internal (e.g., being anxious, tired, overburdened) or external stimuli (e.g., temptations, distractions) are not conducive to goal realization but instead generate interferences that could potentially derail the ongoing goal striving. Implementation intentions can facilitate the shielding of such goal striving from interferences that stem from outside the person by suppressing them (Gollwitzer & Schaal, 1998). For instance, if a person wants to avoid being unfriendly to a friend who is known to make outrageous requests, she can protect herself

from showing the unwanted unfriendly response by forming suppression-oriented implementation intentions. Such suppression-oriented implementation intentions may take various forms: “And if my friend approaches me with an outrageous request, then I will not respond in an unfriendly manner!” or “..., then I will respond in a friendly manner!” or “..., then I’ll ignore it!”

But suppression-oriented implementation intentions can also be used to shield ongoing goal strivings from disruptive inner states. Achtziger, Gollwitzer, and Sheeran (2008, Study 1) report a field experiment concerned with dieting (Study 1) in which goal shielding was supported by suppression implementation intentions geared at controlling potentially interfering inner states (i.e., cravings for junk food). An alternative way of using implementation intentions to protect ongoing goal striving from getting derailed by adverse inner states (e.g., inappropriate moods, ego-depletion, irritation) is forming implementation intentions geared at stabilizing the ongoing goal striving (Bayer & Gollwitzer, 2008). Using again the example of a person who is approached by her friend with an outrageous request, let’s assume that this person is also tired or irritated and thus particularly likely to respond in an unfriendly manner. If this person has stipulated in advance in an implementation intention what she will converse about with her friend, the critical interaction may simply run off as planned, and being tired or irritated should fail to hurt the interaction with her friend.

Calling a halt. Implementation intentions can also help to solve the self-regulatory problem of calling a halt to a faulty goal striving. People often fail to readily disengage from chosen means and goals that turn out to be faulty because of a strong self-justification motive (Brockner, 1992). Such escalation phenomena (also referred to as

“throwing good money after bad”) can be controlled effectively, however, by the use of implementation intentions that specify exactly when and how to consider a switch to a different means or a different goal. For instance, Henderson, Gollwitzer, and Oettingen (2007) asked participants who had chosen a certain strategy for a given task goal to either form an implementation intention that specified a complex reflection response (“If I receive disappointing feedback, then I’ll think about how things have been going with my strategy!”) or a more simple action response (“If I receive disappointing feedback, then I’ll switch my strategy!”), or merely set the goal to always use the best strategy available. Henderson et al. observed that action implementation intentions facilitated disengagement as a response to experienced failure no matter whether there were signs that things were picking up or that they would continue to stay bleak. Reflection implementation intention participants, on the other hand, integrated information about recent improvement in forming their disengagement decision (i.e., they were less willing to disengage when things were picking up). This study shows that implementation intentions can be used to curb the escalation of behavioral commitment commonly observed when people experience failure with a chosen strategy of goal striving. Using reflection implementation intentions (as compared to action implementation intentions) even allows for flexible disengagement in the sense that recent turns to the better are respected in one’s decision to switch (or not) to a different goal striving strategy.

Not overextending oneself. The assumption that implementation intentions subject behavior to the direct control of situational cues (Gollwitzer, 1993) implies that the self is not involved when behavior is controlled via implementation intentions. As a consequence, the self should not become depleted (Muraven & Baumeister, 2000) when

task performance is regulated by implementation intentions, and thus for individuals using implementation intentions, not over-extending themselves should become easier. Indeed, using different ego-depletion paradigms, research participants who had used implementation intentions to self-regulate in a first task do not show reduced self-regulatory capacity in a subsequent task. Whether the initial self-regulation task was controlling emotions while watching a humorous movie (Gollwitzer & Bayer, 2000), or performing a Stroop task (Webb & Sheeran, 2003, Study 1), implementation intentions successfully preserved self-regulatory resources as demonstrated by greater persistence on subsequent difficult tasks (i.e., solving difficult anagrams).

Summary

Forming implementation intentions has been shown to help people solve the major problems of goal striving: getting started, staying on track, calling a halt, and not overextending oneself. Recent research has shown that implementation intentions unveil these beneficial effects even when goal striving is limited by conditions that seem quite resistant to change by self-regulatory efforts. For instance, it was observed that implementation intentions facilitated achieving high scores on math and intelligence tests (Bayer & Gollwitzer, 2007), even though such performances are known to be limited by a person's respective capabilities. Or it was observed that implementation intentions helped people succeed in sports competitions (Achtziger et al., 2008, Study 2) and negotiations over limited resources (Troetschel & Gollwitzer, 2007), even though in such competitive situations a person's goal striving is limited by the opponents' behavior.

Finally, implementation intentions were found to help people's goal striving even when in cases where effective goal striving is threatened by competing habitual

responses; this seems to be true no matter whether these automatic competing responses are behavioral (e.g., Cohen, Bayer, Jaudas, & Gollwitzer, 2008; Holland et al. 2006), cognitive (e.g., Gollwitzer & Schaal, 1998), or affective (e.g., Schweiger Gallo, Keil, McCulloch, Rockstroh, & Gollwitzer, in press) in nature. The latter findings suggest that forming implementation intentions turns top-down control by goals into bottom-up control by the situational cues specified in the if-component of an implementation intention (Gilbert, Gollwitzer, Cohen, Oettingen, & Burgess, 2008), and they explain why special samples that are known to suffer from ineffective control of their thoughts, feelings, and actions (e.g., heroine addicts during withdrawal and schizophrenic patients; Brandstätter, Lengfelder, & Gollwitzer, 2001, Studies 1 & 2; frontal lobe patients, Lengfelder & Gollwitzer, 2001; children with ADHD, Gawrilow & Gollwitzer, in press, Paul, Gawrilow, Zech, et al., 2007) also benefit from forming implementation intentions.

An Intervention to Enhance a Person's Self-Regulatory Capacity:

Combining Mental Contrasting and Implementation Intentions (MCII)

In recent research we explored whether it is possible to construe an intervention that teaches people to use on their own an integrated combination of the two experimentally developed self-regulation strategies of mental contrasting and forming implementation intentions, so that people can become effective self-regulators of their goal setting and goal striving. In a first study, middle-aged women were taught MCII as a meta-cognitive strategy to be applied in everyday life to enhance health-promoting behavior (i.e., exercising regularly). Moreover, in a second study, MCII was again taught as a meta-cognitive strategy this time to help students cope with the stresses of college

life; to assess its implications for personality development, broader variables such as changes in self-discipline and self-esteem were the dependent variables.

In both studies, the combination of MC and II benefited effective goal pursuit. In order to unfold their beneficial effects, implementation intentions require that strong goal commitments are in place (Sheeran, Webb, & Gollwitzer, 2005, Study 1), and mental contrasting creates such strong commitments. Additionally, mental contrasting guarantees the identification of obstacles that hinder goal striving. These same obstacles may then be addressed with “if-then” plans by specifying critical situations in the if-component that are linked to instrumental goal-directed responses in the then-component. Moreover, mental contrasting increases a person’s readiness to make “if-then” plans (Oettingen et al. 2001; Oettingen, Mayer, & Brinkmann, 2007); accordingly, an intervention such as MCII which explicitly suggests forming if-then plans after mental contrasting can capitalize on these effects.

Effects on Health Behavior in Middle-Aged Professional Women

Middle-aged women were recruited to take part in this study focusing on healthy lifestyles (Stadler, Oettingen, & Gollwitzer, 2007). Participants were randomly assigned to either an information-only control group or a MCII intervention group. In the information-only control group, women learned about the benefits of regular exercise. In the MCII group, participants received the same information and additionally learned the MCII technique. Firstly, participants learned the mental contrasting strategy by the help of an interventionist with respect to the goal of exercising regularly (e.g., going for a run three times week), and thereafter were instructed to form three implementation intentions regarding an obstacle standing in the way of exercising (e.g., feeling too tired in the

evening to go for a run) in the form of “if-then” statements: one to overcome the obstacle generated by mental contrasting (e.g., if I feel exhausted when I get home from work tonight, then I will put on my running shoes and go for a jog in the neighborhood), one to prevent this obstacle (e.g., if I hear the clock chime five o’clock, then I will pack my things and leave the office to go for a run), and one identifying a good opportunity to act (e.g., if the sun is shining, then I will go for a 30 minute jog in the park). Participants were then told to apply this MCII procedure to the concern of exercising more by themselves whenever possible in the weeks to come; participants were free to choose whatever form of exercising they felt compelled to engage in, and they were encouraged to detect those obstacles that were personally most relevant.

As dependent measures, participants maintained daily behavioral diaries to keep track of the amount of time they exercised every day. Overall, the MCII technique enhanced exercise more than the information intervention immediately after the intervention; this effect remained stable for four, eight, and 16 weeks after the intervention. The results for exercise behavior indicated that participants in the MCII group exercised nearly twice as much, that is, one hour more per week than participants in the information-only control group. Thus, using the MCII technique was effective for both initial success and long-term maintenance of improving exercise behavior.

Increasing Self-Discipline and Self-Esteem in College Students

Given that MCII as a meta-cognitive strategy improves self-regulation of a variety of goals, we have examined its effects on broader variables of personality development: self-discipline and self-esteem. In line with the conceptualization of self-discipline (self-control) by Tangney, Baumeister, and Boone (2004), we identified the

following key components of self-discipline: time management, project completion, and a feeling of being on top of things. In addition, as MCII should foster strong goal commitment and successful goal completion in a variety of areas, we hypothesized that our MCII intervention might even affect people's self-esteem. As highlighted by William James (1890), self-esteem rises and falls as a function of aspirations and successes. The effect of mental contrasting – a better match between the subjective likelihood of attaining one's goals and commitment to them – should bring commitments in line with objective competence, and utilizing implementation intentions to pursue goals effectively should provide frequent success. Both of these outcomes should act to raise self-esteem.

Undergraduate participants were either assigned to a MCII intervention group or to a control group (Oettingen, Barry, Guttentag, & Gollwitzer, 2007). In the intervention group participants first learned how to use the mental contrasting strategy, then learned how to form implementation intentions by identifying the behavior necessary to overcome or circumvent an obstacle (e.g., a noisy roommate as an obstacle to studying effectively for an upcoming test) generated during mental contrasting. To do so, participants imagined a desired outcome and a present obstacle in vivid detail, then created three "if-then" statements focusing on overcoming the obstacle (e.g., if my roommate starts to get noisy again tonight, then I will talk to her about her behavior), preventing the obstacle (e.g., if loud music is on when I come home at eight o'clock tonight, then I will immediately ask my roommate to turn the music lower), and on planning to approach the desired outcome (e.g., if I pass a drugstore on the way home, then I will buy myself a pair of earplugs). Students practiced using the MCII procedure

with the help of an interventionist so they could perform the strategy on their own regarding a multitude of everyday concerns over the course of one week.

As dependent measures, participants rated self-discipline and self-esteem at two time points: immediately before the intervention, and once again one week after the intervention. The results showed that the MCII intervention directly enhanced MCII participants' reports of self-discipline and their self-esteem, in comparison to control group participants, over a mere one-week period. These effects of the MCII intervention were not moderated by any other measured variables (e.g., sex, age, school year, depression, perceived stress, life satisfaction, troublesome events, college life satisfaction, self-efficacy). Presumably, MCII empowered individuals with self-regulatory skills, first by helping them sensibly commit to goals (i.e., to feasible but not to unfeasible goals) and secondly by helping them to effectively achieve a goal. Thus, this powerful yet simple combination of strategies helped the college students to recognize and realize their potential and feel a sense of self-discipline in their everyday lives.

Summary

Psychologists have begun to analyze metacognitive knowledge in such areas as decision-making and memory (e.g., Bless & Forgas, 2000; Koriat & Goldsmith, 1996; Nelson & Narens, 1994; Metcalfe & Shimamura, 1994). For example, children (especially those with little metacognitive knowledge) improve their memory performance if told about clustering and rehearsal techniques (Schneider, Borkowski, Kurtz, et al., 1986). The use of metacognitive knowledge should also improve goal attainment. To date, however, most interventions only tell people to strive for an a priori

defined goal (e.g., weight control, Stice, Shaw, & Marti, 2006; alcohol control, Lock, 2004; forgiveness, Harris, Luskin, Norman, et. al., 2006). In such interventions, participants are not encouraged to learn strategies to be applied to a multitude of different potential goals. Rather, they are asked to engage in certain goal-directed thoughts, feelings, and actions targeted specifically at attaining a given pre-defined desired outcome.

In everyday life, however, people commonly wish to attain a multitude of different outcomes varying in domains (e.g., academic, interpersonal, health), specificity (Locke & Latham, 1990), temporal distance (Trope & Fishbach, 2000), and framing (Dweck & Leggett, 1988; Higgins, 1997), among others. Therefore, people should benefit from metacognitive knowledge about strategies that are content free, and that relate to prioritizing and planning out all kinds of goal pursuit in advance. The two studies presented above tested whether MCII can be taught as a meta-cognitive strategy, and both studies revealed that the combination of mental contrasting and forming implementation intentions can indeed be taught as a meta-cognitive strategy to meet one's goals in general (e.g., exercising more or coping with college life). Moreover, the second study showed that such meta-cognitive knowledge can even positively affect outcomes related to personality development such as self-discipline and self-esteem. Furthermore, as these studies include samples from the United States and Germany, from young adults to middle-aged individuals, and include diverse domains (academic versus health), it seems evident that mental contrasting and implementation intentions can be ubiquitously applied to help people manage the challenges of their everyday lives.

Conclusion

As meaning in life may originate from action more than talk and meditation (Frankl, 1959/1984), we feel that the self-regulation of goal pursuit is a particularly important issue. It seems vital that people discriminately set themselves goals that are desirable and feasible, and then strive for them in an effective manner. For both of these tasks of goal pursuit there exist effective self-regulatory strategies: mental contrasting for goal setting and forming implementation intentions for goal striving. Importantly, a mental contrasting and implementation intentions (MCII) intervention can be used to teach people the metacognitive knowledge needed to apply these strategies. The effectiveness of the MCII intervention suggests that people can indeed take charge of everyday life by self-regulating their goal pursuits.

References

- Aarts, H., Dijksterhuis, A. P., & Midden, C. (1999). To plan or not to plan? Goal achievement of interrupting the performance of mundane behaviors. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 29*, 971-979.
- Achtziger, A., Fehr, T., Oettingen, G., Gollwitzer, P. M., & Rockstroh, B. (in press). Strategies of intention formation are reflected in continuous MEG activity. *Social Neuroscience*.
- Achtziger, A., Gollwitzer, P. M., & Sheeran, P. (2008). Implementation intentions and shielding goal striving from unwanted thoughts and feelings. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 34*, 381-393.
- al'Absi, M., Bongard, S., Buchanan, T., Pincomb, G. A., Licinio, J., & Lovallo, W. R. (1997). Cardiovascular and neuroendocrine adjustment to public speaking and mental arithmetic stressors. *Psychophysiology, 34*, 266-275.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*, 179-211.
- Armitage, C. J. (2004). Evidence that implementation intentions reduce dietary fat intake: A randomized trial. *Health Psychology, 23*, 319-323.
- Atkinson, J. W. (1957). Motivational determinants of risk-taking behavior. *Psychological Review, 64*, 359-372.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review, 84*, 191-215.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman.

- Bandura, A., & Schunk, D. H. (1981). Cultivating competence, self-efficacy, and intrinsic interest through proximal self-motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 41*, 586-598.
- Bayer, U. C., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2007). Boosting scholastic test scores by willpower: The role of implementation intentions. *Self and Identity, 6*, 1-19.
- Bayer, U. C., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2008). *Enhanced goal striving: Implementation intentions curb the influence of disruptive self-states*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Bless, H., & Forgas, J. P. (Eds.). (2000). *The message within: The role of subjective experience in social cognition and behavior*. New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Brandstätter, V., Lengfelder, A., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2001). Implementation intentions and efficient action initiation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 81*, 946-960.
- Brockner, J. (1992). The escalation of commitment to a failing course of action: Toward theoretical progress. *Academy of Management Review, 17*, 39-61.
- Brunstein, J. C., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (1996). Effects of failure on subsequent performance: The importance of self-defining goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 70*, 395-407.
- Chasteen, A. L., Park, D. C., & Schwarz, N. (2001). Implementation intentions and facilitation of prospective memory. *Psychological Science, 12*, 457-461.
- Cohen, A.-L., Bayer, U. C., Jaudas, A., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2008). Self-regulatory strategy and executive control: Implementation intentions modulate task switching and Simon task performance. *Psychological Research, 72*, 12-26.

- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, *112*, 155-159.
- Dweck, C. S. (1999). *Self-theories: Their role in motivation, personality, and development*. Philadelphia: Psychology Press.
- Dweck, C. S., & Leggett, E. L. (1988). A social cognitive approach to motivation and personality. *Psychological Review*, *95*, 256-273.
- Frankl, V. E. (1959/1984). *Man's search for meaning*. New York, NY: Washington Square Press.
- Gawrilow, C., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (in press). Implementation intentions facilitate response inhibition in children with ADHD. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*.
- Gendolla, G. H. E., & Wright, R. A. (2005). Motivation in social settings studies of effort-related cardiovascular arousal. In J. P. Forgas, K. D. Williams, & S. M. Laham (Eds.), *Social motivation: Conscious and unconscious processes* (pp. 71-90). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Gilbert, S. J., Gollwitzer, P. M., Cohen, A.-L., Oettingen, G., & Burgess, P. W. (2008). Separable brain systems supporting realization of future goals versus if-then plans. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1990). Action phases and mind-sets. In E. T. Higgins & R. M. Sorrentino (Eds.), *Handbook of motivation and cognition: Foundations of social behavior* (pp. 53-92). New York: Guilford Press.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1993). Goal achievement: The role of intentions. *European Review of Social Psychology*, *4*, 141-185.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1999). Implementation intentions. Strong effects of simple plans. *American Psychologist*, *54*, 493-503.

- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Bayer, U. C. (2000, October). *Becoming a better person without changing the self*. Paper presented at the Self and Identity Pre-conference of the Annual Meeting of the Society of Experimental Social Psychology, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Brandstätter, V. (1997). Implementation intentions and effective goal pursuit. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 73*, 186-199.
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Moskowitz, G. B. (1996). Goal effects on action and cognition. In E.T. Higgins & A. W. Kruglanski (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (pp. 361-399). New York: Guilford Press.
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Schaal, B. (1998). Metacognition in action: The importance of implementation intentions. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 2*, 124-136.
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Sheeran, P. (2006). Implementation intentions and goal achievement: A meta-analysis of effects and processes. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 38*, 69-119.
- Harris, A. H. S., Luskin, F., Norman, S. B., Standard, S., Bruning, J., Evans, S., & Thoresen, S. E. (2006). Effects of a group forgiveness intervention on forgiveness, perceived stress, and trait-anger. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 62*, 715-733.
- Heckhausen, H. (1977). Achievement motivation and its constructs: A cognitive model. *Motivation and Emotion, 1*, 283-329.
- Henderson, M. D., Gollwitzer, P. M., & Oettingen, G. (2007). Implementation intentions and disengagement from a failing course of action. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 20*, 81-102.

- Higgins, E. T. (1997). Beyond pleasure and pain. *American Psychologist*, *52*, 1280-1300.
- Holland, R. W., Aarts, H., & Langendam, D. (2006). Breaking and creating habits on the working floor: A field experiment on the power of implementation intentions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *42*, 776-783.
- James, W. (1890). *Principles of psychology* (Vol. 1). New York: Henry Holt.
- Koriat, A., & Goldsmith, M. (1996). Monitoring and control processes in the strategic regulation of memory accuracy. *Psychological Review*, *103*, 490-517.
- Klinger, E. (1975). Consequences of commitment to and disengagement from incentives. *Psychological Review*, *82*, 1-25.
- Lengfelder, A., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2001). Reflective and reflexive action control in patients with frontal brain lesions. *Neuropsychology*, *15*, 80-100.
- Lock, C. A. (2004). Screening and brief alcohol interventions: What, why, who, where and when? A review of the literature. *Journal of Substance Use*, *9*, 91-101.
- Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). *A theory of goal setting & task performance*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, US: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002) Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist*, *57*, 705-717.
- Maddux, J. E. (1999). Expectancies and the social cognitive perspective: Basic principles, processes, and variables. In I. Kirsch (Ed.), *How expectancies shape behavior*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Metcalfe, J., & Shimamura, A. P. (Eds.). (1994). *Metacognition: Knowing about knowing*. Cambridge, MA, US: The MIT Press.

- Milne, S., Orbell, S., & Sheeran, P. (2002). Combining motivational and volitional interventions to promote exercise participation: Protection motivation theory and implementation intentions. *British Journal of Health Psychology, 7*, 163-184.
- Muraven, M. & Baumeister, R. F. (2000). Self-regulation and depletion of limited resources: Does self-control resemble a muscle? *Psychological Bulletin, 126*, 247-259.
- Nelson, T. O., & Narens, L. (1994). *Why investigate metacognition?* Cambridge, MA, US: The MIT Press.
- Newell, A., & Simon, H. A. (1972). *Human problem solving*. Oxford, England: Prentice-Hall.
- Oettingen, G. (2000). Expectancy effects on behavior depend on self-regulatory thought. *Social Cognition, 18*, 101-129.
- Oettingen, G., Barry, H., Guttenberg, K., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2007). *Improving self-discipline and self-esteem: A mental contrasting with implementation intentions intervention*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Oettingen, G., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2001). Goal setting and goal striving. In A. Tesser & N. Schwarz (Eds.), *Intraindividual process. Volume I of the Blackwell Handbook in Social Psychology* (pp. 329-347). Oxford Blackwell.
- Oettingen, G., Hönig, G., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2000). Effective self-regulation of goal attainment. *International Journal of Educational Research, 33*, 705-732.
- Oettingen, G., & Mayer, D. (2002). The motivating function of thinking about the future: Expectations versus fantasies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83*, 1198-1212.

- Oettingen, G., Mayer, D., & Brinkmann (2007). *Mental contrasting effects on planning and time-management*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Oettingen, G., Mayer, D., Sevincer, A. T., Stephens, E. J., Pak, H-J., & Hagenah, M. (2007). *Making fantasies about the future come true: The role of energization*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Oettingen, G., Mayer, D., Thorpe, J., Janetzke, H., & Lorenz, S. (2005). Turning fantasies about positive and negative futures into self-improvement goals. *Motivation and Emotion, 29*, 236-266
- Oettingen, G., Pak, H., & Schnetter, K. (2001). Self-regulation of goal-setting: Turning free fantasies about the future into binding goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 80*, 736-753.
- Orbell, S., & Sheeran, P. (2000). Motivational and volitional processes in action initiation: A field study of the role of implementation intentions. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 30*, 780-797.
- Orbell, S., Hodgkins, S., & Sheeran, P. (1997). Implementation intentions and the theory of planned behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 23*, 945-954.
- Parks-Stamm, E. J., Gollwitzer, P. M., & Oettingen, G. (2007). Action control by implementation intentions: Effective cue detection and efficient response initiation. *Social Cognition, 25*, 248-266.
- Paul, I., Gawrilow, C., Zech, F., Gollwitzer, P. M., Rockstroh, B., Odenthal, G., Kratzer, W., & Wienbruch, C. (2007). If-then planning modulates the P300 in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *NeuroReport 18*, 653-657.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potential: A review of

- research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 141-166.
- Ryan, R. M., & Frederick, C. M. (1997). On energy, personality and health: Subjective vitality as a dynamic reflection of well-being. *Journal of Personality*, 65, 529-565.
- Schneider, W., Borkowski, J. G., Kurtz, B. E., & Kerwin, K. (1986). Metamemory and motivation: A comparison of strategy use and performance in German and American children. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 17, 315-336.
- Schweiger Gallo, I., Keil, A., McCulloch, K. C., Rockstroh, B., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (in press). Strategic automation of emotion control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.
- Sheeran, P., & Orbell, S. (1999). Implementation intentions and repeated behavior: Augmenting the predictive validity of the theory of planned behavior. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 29, 349-369.
- Sheeran, P., & Orbell, S. (2000). Using implementation intentions to increase attendance for cervical cancer screening. *Health Psychology*, 19, 283-289.
- Sheeran, P., Webb, T. L., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2005). The interplay between goal intentions and implementation intentions. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 31, 87-98.

- Stadler, G., Oettingen, G., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2007). *Long-term behavior change in exercise: A mental contrasting and implementation intention intervention*.
Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Stice, E., Shaw, H., & Marti, C. N. (2006). A meta-analytic review of obesity prevention programs for children and adolescents: The skinny on interventions that work. *Psychological Bulletin, 132*, 667-691.
- Tangney, J. P., Baumeister, R. F., & Boone, A. L. (2004). High self-control predicts good adjustment, less pathology, better grades, and interpersonal success. *Journal of Personality, 72*, 271-322.
- Trope, Y., & Fishbach, A. (2000). Counteractive self-control in overcoming temptation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 79*, 493-506.
- Trötschel, R., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2007). Implementation intentions and the willful pursuit of goals in negotiations. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 43*, 519-598.
- Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. Oxford, England: Wiley.
- Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (2003). Can implementation intentions help to overcome ego-depletion? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 39*, 279-286.
- Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (2004). Identifying good opportunities to act: Implementation intentions and cue discrimination. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 34*, 407-419.
- Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (2006). Does changing behavioral intentions engender behavior change? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Psychological Bulletin, 132*, 249-268.

- Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (2007). How do implementation intentions promote goal attainment? A test of component processes. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 43*, 295-302.
- Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (in press). Mechanisms of implementation intention effects: The role of goal intentions, self-efficacy, and accessibility of plan components. *British Journal of Social Psychology*.
- Wright, R. A., & Kirby, L. D. (2001). Effort determination of cardiovascular response: An integrative analysis with applications in social psychology. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.) *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol 33, pp. 255-307). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.